

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,386	07/22/2003	Gregory J. Erisoty	EGJ-1-CONT	9777	
759	90 06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Ted Paulding 10 Penwood Lane			PRONE, J	PRONE, JASON D	
Wethersfield, C	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3724	•	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>√</b> • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/626,386	ERISOTY ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Prone	3724	,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWTHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expectations of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be structured to the structure of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be structured to the structure of the struct						
AMENDMENTS	b., 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6	f:11 mak ha amkanad 1	L			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	t tt	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)			
<ul> <li>4.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		•			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14,15,19 and 20</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,13,16-28,31 and 32</u> .	Allan N	Shoon				
Claim(s) rejected. 12,73,70-20,37 and 32.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		atent Examiner				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Group					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will n	ot be entered s necessary			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after e	illy is below or allac	neu.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the language "an arc of constant radius" changes the scope of the claim and will require further searching. Also, the phrase "said second and third blade-engaging elements are commonly disposed on an arc of constant radius circumscribing said first blade-engaging element" does not make the claim allowable. In order to circumscribe an item, the item only must be enclosed within a circle. That item does not have to be the center of that circle. Duffy clearly discloses second and third blade-engaging elements commonly disposed on an arc that will cicumscribe or encircle the first blade-engaging element.